

REMARKS

A request for a one month extension of time is transmitted herewith.

Reconsideration of the present application as amended is requested.

A new, more descriptive title has been provided for the subject application, as suggested by the examiner in the first Office Action mailed February 25, 2005. The abstract has also been amended as suggested by the examiner. The examiner indicated that the original abstract was objectionable because it used phrases "which can be implied." It is believed that the examiner is referring to the language "or other attachment mechanisms," which has been eliminated.

In the first Office Action, the examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 11-18 and 20 over prior art. However, Claims 3, 10 and 19 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter. Claims 1 and 3 have been combined, and Claim 3 has been canceled. Claim 8 has been amended to make it consistent with amended Claim 1. Claims 11 and 19 have been combined, and Claim 19 has been canceled. Claim 17 has been amended to be consistent with amended Claim 11. Claim 20 has been canceled.

No additional fee is due at this time.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Michael H. Jester
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 28,022